

Inspection Results (IRR)

Generated on 2018.August.20 11:19

- Tidewater PA (59)

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Tidewater PA

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub- Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
1.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	EP.ERL	9.	EP.ERL.LIAISON.R	195.402(a) (195.402(c)(12), 195.440(c), API RP 1162 Section 4.4)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
2.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	1.	FS.FG.SIGNAGE.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.434)	Does the process require operator signs to be posted around each pump station and breakout tank area?
3.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	2.	FS.FG.SIGNAGE.O	195.434	Are there operator signs around each pumping station, breakout tank area, and other applicable facilities?
4.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	3.	FS.FG.PROTECTION.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.436)	Does the process require facilities to be protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?
5.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	4.	FS.FG.FACPROTECT.O	195.436	Are facilities adequately protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?
6.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	5.	FS.FG.IGNITION.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.438)	Does the process prohibit smoking and open flames in each pump station and breakout tank area or where there is the possibility of the leakage of a flammable hazardous liquid or of the presence of flammable vapors?
7.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	6.	FS.FG.IGNITION.R	195.404(c) (195.438)	Do records show precautions taken to prevent ignition sources in areas of with a potential for accumulating flammable vapors or leaking hazardous liquids?
8.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	7.	FS.FG.IGNITION.O	195.438	Is there signage that prohibits smoking and open flames around pump stations, launchers

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Tidewater PA

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							and receivers, breakout tank areas, or other applicable facilities?
9.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	1. MO.RW.PATROL.P	195.402(a) (195.412(a), 195.412(b))	Does the process require ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways be inspected, and is reporting and appropriate mitigation required for findings from said inspections?
10.	Tidewater PA	NA	(2)	MO.RW	2. MO.RW.PATROL.R	195.412(a) (195.412(b))	Do records indicate ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways were inspected, and reporting and appropriate mitigation performed?
11.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	3. MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P	195.402(a) (195.410(a), 195.410(c), API RP 1162, Section 2.7, API RP 1162, Section 8)	Does the process address how line markers are to be placed and maintained?
12.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	4. MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	195.410(a) (195.410(b), 195.410(c))	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
13.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	5. MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	195.412(a)	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
14.	Tidewater PA	Sat		PD.OC	1. PD.OC.PROGRAM.P	195.442(a)	Does the operator have a damage prevention program approved and in place?
15.	Tidewater PA	Sat		PD.OC	2. PD.OC.ONECALL.P	195.442(a) (195.442(b))	Does the process require participation in qualified one-call systems?
16.	Tidewater PA	Sat		PD.OC	3. PD.OC.PUBLICNOTIFY.P	195.442(a) (195.442(c)(2))	Does the process include public notification requirements?
17.	Tidewater PA	Sat		PD.OC	4. PD.OC.EXCAVATEMARK.P	195.442(a) (195.442(b), 195.442(c)(4), 195.442(c)(5))	Does the process require marking proposed excavation sites?
18.	Tidewater PA	Sat		PD.OC	5. PD.OC.EXCAVATE.P	195.442(a) (195.442(c)(6))	Does the process include inspection of pipelines that could be damaged by excavation activities?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Tidewater PA

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
19.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.OC	6.	PD.OC.TPD.P	195.442(a) (195.442(b), 195.442(c)(1))	Does the process specify how reports of Third Party Activity and names of associated contractors or excavators are input back into the mail-outs and communications with excavators along the system?
20.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.OC	7.	PD.OC.TPDONECALL.P	195.442(a) (195.442(b), 195.442(c)(3))	Does the process specify how reports of TPD are checked against One-Call tickets?
21.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.OC	8.	PD.OC.ONECALL.O	195.442(c)(3)	Observe operator's process for a "One Call" Ticket.
22.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.OC	9.	PD.OC.PROGRAM.R	195.442(a)	Do records indicate the damage prevention program is being carried out as written?
23.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	1.	PD.PA.PROGRAM.P	195.440(a) (195.440(h))	Has the continuing public education (awareness) program been established as required?
24.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	2.	PD.PA.MGMTSUPPORT.P	195.440(a) (API RP 1162 Section 2.5, API RP 1162 Section 7.1)	Does the operator's program documentation demonstrate management support?
25.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	3.	PD.PA.ASSETS.P	195.440(b) (API RP 1162, Section 2.7 Step 4)	Does the program clearly identify the specific pipeline systems and facilities to be included in the program, along with the unique attributes and characteristics of each?
26.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	4.	PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.P	195.440(d) (195.440(e), 195.440(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP1162 Section 3)	Does the program establish methods to identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents?
27.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	5.	PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.R	195.440(d) (195.440(e), 195.440(f), API	Do records identify the individual stakeholders in the

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Tidewater PA

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
						RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 Section 3)	four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents to which it sends public awareness materials and messages?
28.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	6.	PD.PA.MESSAGES.P	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 3, API RP 1162 Section 4, API RP 1162 Section 5)	Does the program define the combination of messages, delivery methods, and delivery frequencies to comprehensively reach all affected stakeholder audiences in all areas where hazardous liquid or carbon dioxide is transported?
29.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	7.	PD.PA.SUPPLEMENTAL.P	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 6.2)	Were relevant factors considered to determine the need for supplemental public awareness program enhancements for each stakeholder audience along all pipeline systems, as described in API RP 1162?
30.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	8.	PD.PA.EDUCATE.R	195.440(d) (195.440(f))	Did delivered messages specifically include provisions to educate the public, emergency officials, local public officials, and excavators on: (1) Use of a one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a hazardous liquid or carbon dioxide pipeline facility; (3) Physical indications of a possible release; (4) Steps to be taken for public safety in the event of a hazardous liquid or carbon

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Tidewater PA

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text	
							dioxide pipeline release; and (5) Procedures to report such an event?	
31.	Tidewater PA	Concern	PD.PA	9.	PD.PA.LOCATIONMESSAGE.R	195.440(e) (195.440(f))	Were messages developed and delivered to advise affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents of pipeline facility location?	
32.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	10.	PD.PA.MESSAGEFREQUENCY.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Table 2-1)	Did the delivery of materials and messages meet or exceed the baseline delivery frequencies specified in API RP 1162, Table 2-1?	
33.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	PD.PA	11.	EP.ERL.LIAISON.R	195.402(a) (195.402(c)(12), 195.440(c), API RP 1162 Section 4.4)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
34.	Tidewater PA	Sat		PD.PA	12.	PD.PA.LANGUAGE.P	195.440(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)	Does the program require that materials and messages be provided in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
35.	Tidewater PA	Sat		PD.PA	13.	PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R	195.440(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)	Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
36.	Tidewater PA	Sat		PD.PA	14.	PD.PA.EVALPLAN.P	195.440(i) (195.440(c), API RP 1162 Section 8, API RP 1162 Appendix E)	Does the program include a process that specifies how program implementation and effectiveness will be periodically evaluated?
37.	Tidewater PA	Sat		PD.PA	15.	PD.PA.EVALIMPL.R	195.440(c) (195.440(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Has an audit or review of the public awareness program implementation been performed annually since the program was developed?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Tidewater PA

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
38.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	16.	PD.PA.AUDITMETHODS.R	195.440(c) (195.440(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Was one or more of the three acceptable methods (i.e., internal assessment, 3rd-party contractor review, or regulatory inspections) used to complete the annual audit or review of the public awareness program implementation?
39.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	17.	PD.PA.PROGRAMIMPROVE.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Were changes made to improve the program and/or the implementation process based on the results and findings of the annual audit(s)?
40.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	18.	PD.PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Sections 8.4)	Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program been performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program?
41.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	19.	PD.PA.MEASUREOUTREACH.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.1)	In evaluating effectiveness, was actual program outreach for each stakeholder audience tracked?
42.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	20.	PD.PA.MEASUREUNDERSTANDABILITY.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.2)	In evaluating program effectiveness, was the percentage of each stakeholder audience that understood and retained the key information from the messages determined?
43.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	21.	PD.PA.MEASUREBEHAVIOR.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.3)	In evaluating program effectiveness, was evaluation made of whether appropriate preventive, response, and mitigative behaviors were understood and likely to be exhibited?
44.	Tidewater PA	Sat	PD.PA	22.	PD.PA.MEASUREBOTTOM.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4)	Did the operator attempt to measure bottom-line results of the program by tracking third-party incidents and consequences including: (1) near misses, (2)

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Tidewater PA

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								excavation damages resulting in pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not result in pipeline failures?
45.	Tidewater PA	Sat		PD.PA	23.	PD.PA.CHANGES.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 (Step 12), API RP 1162 Section 8.5)	Were needed changes and/or modifications to the program identified and documented based on the results and findings of the program effectiveness evaluations?
46.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	1.	MO.RW.PATROL.P	195.402(a) (195.412(a), 195.412(b))	Does the process require ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways be inspected, and is reporting and appropriate mitigation required for findings from said inspections?
47.	Tidewater PA	NA	(2)	PD.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	195.412(a) (195.412(b))	Do records indicate ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways were inspected, and reporting and appropriate mitigation performed?
48.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	3.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P	195.402(a) (195.410(a), 195.410(c), API RP 1162, Section 2.7, API RP 1162, Section 8)	Does the process address how line markers are to be placed and maintained?
49.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	4.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	195.410(a) (195.410(b), 195.410(c))	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
50.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	195.412(a)	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
51.	Tidewater PA	Sat		PD.RW	6.	PD.RW.INFORMATION.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.452(f)(3), 195.452(g))	Does the process require damage prevention information to be gathered and recorded during pipeline patrols and surveillance and then analyzed?
52.	Tidewater PA	Sat		PD.RW	7.	PD.RW.INFORMATION.R	195.404(c) (195.402(c)(3), 195.452(f)(3), 195.452(g))	Do records show damage prevention information being gathered and

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Tidewater PA

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							recorded during pipeline patrols and surveillance and then analyzed is available for review?
53.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	1. FS.FG.SIGNAGE.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.434)	Does the process require operator signs to be posted around each pump station and breakout tank area?
54.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	2. FS.FG.SIGNAGE.O	195.434	Are there operator signs around each pumping station, breakout tank area, and other applicable facilities?
55.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	3. FS.FG.PROTECTION.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.436)	Does the process require facilities to be protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?
56.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	4. FS.FG.FACPROTECT.O	195.436	Are facilities adequately protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?
57.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	5. FS.FG.IGNITION.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.438)	Does the process prohibit smoking and open flames in each pump station and breakout tank area or where there is the possibility of the leakage of a flammable hazardous liquid or of the presence of flammable vapors?
58.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	6. FS.FG.IGNITION.R	195.404(c) (195.438)	Do records show precautions taken to prevent ignition sources in areas of with a potential for accumulating flammable vapors or leaking hazardous liquids?
59.	Tidewater PA	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	7. FS.FG.IGNITION.O	195.438	Is there signage that prohibits smoking and open flames around pump stations, launchers and receivers, breakout tank areas, or other applicable facilities?

1. Result is repeated (N) times in this report due to re-presentation of the question in multiple sub-groups.

Report Parameters: All non-empty Results

Inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.